

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,146	11/05/2001	Hisayoshi Ito	213630US3XPCT	2530	
22850 7	590 09/11/2003		·		
OBLON, SPI	VAK, MCCLELLAN	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE ST		SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				90		
	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
	09/926,146		ITO ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit			
	Curtis E. Sherrer		1761			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with th	orrespondence addi	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EXP	IRE 3 MONTH(	S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini vill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/0</u>	<u>)1/03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-fir	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Objects				merits is		
Disposition of Claims  4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-15 is/are pending in the ap	plication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ation		•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	VII IIOIII COIISIGCIE					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-15</u> is/are rejected.				,		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	ment.				
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ion.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: □						
Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional a	application).		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/926,146

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "at least one . . . paddle blade" (see new claims 14 and 15) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new claims include the phrase "at least one . . . paddle blade" and specificational basis was not provided nor found.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,146

Art Unit: 1761

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eickelmann (U.S Pat. No. 4,472,063).

Applicants claim a stirred tank that contains a stirring impeller that has a diameter that is 60-90% the diameter of the tank's inner walls. The recitation directed to the tank containing a yeast slurry is considered to be an intended use and therefore is not a limitation on the claimed invention. Further, the claimed height of the impeller is based on the amount of yeast that is normally stored in the tank, and because this limitation is indefinite, it cannot be analyzed with respect to the prior art.

Eickelmann teaches a mixer implement for liquids. While no numbers are taught with respect to the diameter of the impeller or the tank, a measurement of Figure 2 shows that the impeller has a diameter of about 75% of the inner diameter of the tank. It is noted hat the paddle blades of Eikelmann are partially vertical.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7 and 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grylls et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,188,407)(hereinafter Grylls) in view of Okamoto.

Grylls teaches the production of active dried yeast whereby yeast is placed in a stirred tank and a rotor blade "that moves around the periphery of the bed forcing the yeast towards the center of the bed. Thus a preferred apparatus comprises a slowly rotating (e.g. 2 to 0 or 100, preferably 10 to 20 rpm) rotor blade that sweeps the periphery of the bed and one or more fast rotating blades." (Col. 5, lines 17-30). While the phrase "periphery of the bed" indicates that the blade extends to the walls of the tank, Grylls does not literally teach the dimensions of the rotor blade.

Eikelmann teaches that cited above. It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the rotor blades of Eikelmann in the process of Grylls because they would extend to the periphery of the yeast bed.

It is noted that applicants assert that the prior art blades are not as efficient at stirring as the claimed blades, but no evidence has been presented that actually compares efficiencies.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner

September 4, 2003